

Quintessence duality

R. de Ritis^{1,2}, A. A. Marino^{2,3}, C. Rubano^{1,2}, P. Scudellaro^{1,2}

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli,
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, Ed. N, 80126 Napoli, Italy;

²Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli,
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, Ed. G, 80126 Napoli, Italy;

³Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte,
Via Moiariello, 16, 80131 Napoli, Italy.

Abstract

We join quintessence cosmological scenarios with the duality symmetry existing in string dilaton cosmologies. Actually, we consider the tracker potential type $V = V_0/\varphi^\alpha$ and show that duality is only established if $\alpha = -2$.

PACS number(s): 98.80.Cq, 98.80.-k, 98.80.Hw, 04.20.Jb

Corresponding author: A.A. Marino, Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Via Moiariello, 16, 80131 Napoli, Italy
tel. +39 081 5575547, fax +39 081 456710
e-mail marino@na.astro.it

In this short letter we show how duality symmetry can be connected with the so-called quintessence theories.

Duality (see the recent review paper on this topic by M. Gasperini [4] and the almost complete references therein) is an extra-symmetry existing (in the cosmological arena: we will use a standard 3+1 Friedmann-Robertson-Walker splitting of spacetime, i.e. the cosmological principle) in the minisuperspace associated with scalar-theories of gravity (see [2] and the references therein). Essentially this symmetry is such that if $a(t)$ is a cosmological solution, then $a^{-1}(t)$ is also a solution when the scalar field (dilaton) present in this approach to gravity undergoes the transformation $\varphi \rightarrow \bar{\varphi} = \varphi - (3/2) \ln a$. Of course this symmetry implies the existence of a new fundamental constant λ_S , i.e. the fundamental string scaling. The reason of the great interest in string theory is very well described in the quoted paper by Gasperini [4] and we make reference to it for a discussion on this topic.

The second ingredient we discuss in our paper is quintessence, which has been developed recently [1], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10] because the astronomical observations suggest that there is present in the Universe a very large amount of energy density with a negative pressure. We may consider it as given by a scalar field φ slowly rolling down its potential and such that $-1 < w_\varphi < 0$, where $w_\varphi = p_\varphi/\rho_\varphi$, being p_φ and ρ_φ the pressure and the energy density of the scalar field. Actually recent considerations [7] fix this interval to be smaller: $-1 < w_\varphi \leq -0.6$.¹ As a matter of fact, quintessence has been advanced resuming, generalizing and suitably readapting older ideas on cosmology with a scalar field, i.e. scalar-tensor theories of gravity. (See also the introduction of the so-called x-matter [11], [12], [13], [14].) One of the most common potential used in quintessence theories is of the type $V = V_0 \varphi^{-\alpha}$, (where V_0 and $\alpha > 0$ are parameters); its discussion can be found in the literature already quoted, but see also [3] because we are going to analyse quintessence in a non-minimal coupled theory.

These are the two ingredients we are going to join in what follows.

We will refer to the general string action:

¹We like to remember that a cosmological constant Λ which can be connected with vacuum energy density has $w_\Lambda = -1$.

$$s = \frac{1}{\lambda_s^{d-1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g} e^{-2\varphi} [R + 4(\nabla\varphi)^2 + V(\varphi)] + \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g} L_M, \quad (1)$$

where L_M represents all the others matter source, and the antisymmetric tensor $B_{\mu\nu}$ present in the string theory has been taken zero as usual in string cosmology; finally, we will assume $d = 3$.

Let us consider cosmological non - minimally coupled models described by the general action :

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left\{ \left[F(\varphi) R + \frac{\gamma}{2} (\nabla\varphi)^2 - V(\varphi) \right] + L_M \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Here, the coupling function $F = F(\varphi)$ is completely generic, as well as, at this level, the potential $V(\varphi)$. We suppose that in (1) and (2) $L_M = 0$, that is, we consider a situation in which there are no sources other than the scalar field. Furthermore we have introduced a generic coefficient γ in the kinetic part because of the discrepancy existing, on this coefficient, between (1) and the standard non - minimal coupled scalar - tensor action. From (2), in the FLRW cosmological case, we get the following ‘point - like’ Lagrangian defined in the minisuperspace $a(t), \varphi(t)$:

$$\mathcal{L} = a^3 \left[6F(\varphi) \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + 6F'(\varphi) \dot{\varphi} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 - V(\varphi) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Let us choose the potential commonly used in the quintessence approach, i.e. :

$$V = V_0 \varphi^\alpha, \quad (4)$$

considering now α completely arbitrary ². Let us change $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_0 e^{\beta\psi}$ and write the coupling as :

$$F = F_0 e^{2\beta\psi} f(\psi), \quad (5)$$

being (F_0, φ_0, β) constants and $f(\psi)$ a generic function of the new ψ - field. Using these new variables and functions, Lagrangian (3) becomes :

²We do not enter in details on the way of putting constraints on this parameter because the goal of our paper is different; in any case see [5].

$$\mathcal{L} = a^3 e^{2\beta\psi} \left[6F_0 f \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + 6F_0 (2\beta f + f') \dot{\psi} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \varphi_0^2 \beta^2 \dot{\psi}^2 - \frac{V}{\varphi_0} e^{-(2\beta+\alpha)\psi} \right]. \quad (6)$$

(The prime indicates derivation with respect to ψ .)

Let us now perform the (standard) transformation :

$$\psi = \psi(a, \phi) = k\phi + \frac{3}{2} \ln a, \quad (7)$$

where k is a new parameter. The piece

$$a^3 e^{2\beta\psi} = a^3 e^{2\beta k\phi} e^{\beta \ln a^3} = a^3 a^{3\beta} e^{(2k\beta)\phi},$$

if $\beta = -1$, becomes $a^{-3} a^3 e^{-2k\phi} = e^{-2k\phi}$. Substituting (7) in (6) gives :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = e^{-2k\phi} & \left[\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 \left(-12F_0 f + 9F_0 f' \frac{9}{8} \gamma \varphi_0^2 \right) + k \left(-12F_0 f + 6F_0 f' \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{3}{2} \gamma \varphi_0^2 \right) \dot{\phi} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) \right] + e^{-2k\phi} \left[\frac{\gamma}{2} \varphi_0^2 k^2 \dot{\phi}^2 - V_0 \varphi_0^\alpha - e^{\psi(2-\alpha)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let us require that f is a solution of the equation :

$$\frac{1}{2} f' - f + \frac{\gamma \varphi_0^2}{8F_0} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Because of (7) we can choose, as a particular solution of (9), $f = f_0$; then, we have $f_0 = \frac{\gamma \varphi_0^2}{8F_0}$, which substituted in the coefficient of $(\dot{a}/a)^2$ gives :

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{-2k\phi} \left[-\frac{3}{2} \varphi_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{3\gamma}{4} \right) \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \varphi_0^2 k^2 \right) \dot{\phi}^2 - V_0 \varphi_0^\alpha e^{\psi(2-\alpha)} \right].$$

If we now suppose, for example, that $\varphi_0 = -\sqrt{8}$, $k^2 = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, we get ($\bar{V}_0 \equiv -V_0 \varphi_0^\alpha$) :

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{\pm 2\phi} \left[-3 \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + 4 \dot{\phi}^2 + \bar{V}_0 e^{(2-\alpha)\psi(a,\phi)} \right], \quad (10)$$

and we recover duality if $\alpha = 2$. That is, duality symmetry requires that the potential has to be $V = V_0\varphi_0^2$, i.e. it is not possible to recover the quintessence standard power-law type potential.

As a final remark we want to stress that, if we compute the parameter $\Gamma \equiv V''V/(V')^2$ using the initial potential $V = V_0\varphi^2$, we get $\Gamma_\varphi = 1/2$, so that $\Gamma_\varphi < 1$.³ If we compute the same quantity relatively to the potential $V = \tilde{V}_0 e^{-2k\phi}$ (which is the same one used by B. Ratra [15]) given in (10), after the change (7) of minisuperspace variables, we find $\Gamma_\phi = 1$. That is, we have to carefully compute how Γ changes under the (more general than (7)) transformation :

$$a = a, \quad \varphi = \varphi(a, \phi). \quad (11)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that :

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \equiv \frac{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial \phi^2}}{\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \phi}\right)^2} \tilde{V} = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \varphi^2}}{\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}\right)^2} V + \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \ln \tilde{V}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \varphi}} \right), \quad (12)$$

i.e. :

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \ln \tilde{V}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \varphi}} \right), \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}(a, \phi) = V(\varphi(\phi, a))$.

Computing the piece arising from the change (7), and using the exponential potential present in (10), we get :

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \ln \tilde{V}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \varphi}} \right) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (14)$$

and relation (13) gives rise exactly to $\tilde{\Gamma} = 1$, as required by the potential present in (10).

³To get a tracker field, a special form of quintessence, we need to have $\Gamma > 1$ [9], [10].

In a forthcoming analysis we will study relation (13), which naturally comes out in the string duality approach, in order to understand whether the quintessence requirement $\Gamma > 1$ is (minisuperspace) coordinate dependent or not.

Acknowledgments.

It is a great pleasure to thank V.F. Cardone, E. Piedipalumbo, and P. Trautmann for the discussions we had on the manuscript. This work has been financially sustained by the M.U.R.S.T. grant PRIN97 “SIN.TE.SI.”.

References

- [1] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998).
- [2] S. Capozziello, R. de Ritis, C. Rubano, and P. Scudellaro, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento, **4**,1 (1996).
- [3] R. de Ritis, A.A. Marino, C. Rubano, and P. Scudellaro, hep-th/9907198 (1999).
- [4] M. Gasperini, hep-th/9907067 (1999).
- [5] B. Leibundgut *et al.*, astro-ph/9812042.
- [6] J. P. Ostriker and P. J. Steinhardt, Nature **377**, 600 (1995).
- [7] S. Perlmutter, M. S. Turner, and M. White, astro-ph/9901052.
- [8] P. J. Steinhardt, in *Critical Problems in Physics*, edited by V. L. Fitch (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997).
- [9] P. J. Steinhardt, L. Wang, and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123504 (1999).
- [10] I. Zlatev, L. Wang, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896 (1999).
- [11] M. S. Turner and M. White, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4439 (1997).

- [12] T. Chiba, N. Sugiyama, and T. Nakamura, MNRAS **289**, L5 (1997).
- [13] T. Chiba and T. Nakamura, Progr. Theor. Phys. **100**, 1077 (1998).
- [14] T. Chiba, N. Sugiyama, and T. Nakamura, MNRAS **301**, 72 (1998).
- [15] B. Ratra, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 3939 (1989).